7 + (* / 2 % \$ / 32 / , & < + 25 , = / \$ %

Empowering Evidence - Based Solutions



Webster University 470 E Lockwood Av&t Louis MO 63119USA





V

At the international level, IOs are in themselves effective platforms for leaders of democratizing states to effectively engage with those from established democratizes that have consolidated democracy. This allows them to exchange ideas, knowledgexperiences about democratic policy procedures and standard practices. It also facilitates the formation of $uu v CE \mu o \cdot v CE P \mu o š]v \cdot (u CE s] P A CE v v A Z Z ^$



/vš OEv š]}v o KOEP v]Ìš]}voDemeooZta&tic Bjanck/sošidněn/gOE•[}(

IOs are proven to be successful in promoting democracy in emerging and new democracies, but a closer analysis reveals that these mechanisms are insufficient and are often less effective in ensuring democratic resilience. Although IOs generally promote **demog**, their emphasis on the electoral cycle and executive empowerment creates conditions for and increases the likelihood of democratic backsliding in transitional democracies.

Emphasis on Electoral Cycle

One of the most significant reasons why IOs contribute to democratic backsliding in transitional democracies is their predominant emphasis on the electoral cycle at the detrimental of developing other critical democratic institutions. As democracies dates a functioning governance structure when they are in a state of transition, IOs often prioritize building the capacity of states in first fulfilling the traditional requirements of democratics, mass political participation and free and fair electric tas a baseline for developing other democratic



to power through the traditional component of democracy but has also given them internal and external legitimacy as democratically elected leaders.

While elected executives maintain traditional requirements of democracy

GLOBAL POLICY HORIZONS LAB

Weak Enforcement Devices

Even after reversions have taken place, IOs often lack a strong enforcement mechanism to impose policies on the now autocratic states to restore democratic order and reorient these states back on track with previous democratic development. This is not track with previous democratic development. do not have a mechanism to curb autocratic reversion once it has taken place. There are wide arrays of mechanisms such as economic sanctions and regime condemnation (Poast and Urpelainen, 2018). These mechanisms may enable IOs tœcveaibus economic, political, and reputation costs on the now autocratic states for reneging on democratic commitment, and eventually force IOs to rembrace the mutually beneficial cooperation within IOs and the value of being a part of a democratic compov]šÇX,}ÁÀ ŒU ^/K• v(}Œ u vš}(}u ● ‰}••]]o]šÇ_ ~W} •š v hŒ‰ o]v vU îìíô•X dZ Ç •šU OE u}š encouraging perpetrators of autocratic reversals to conform as they believe that incentives to remainin power by exercising their monopoly on the use of force far outweigh the benefits of the slow and uncertain process of democratic transition and consolidation.

/v šZ]••v•U /K• OE ^Á I uu]šu vš À] •_ šZ š o I šZ μ šZ u u OE•š} oo (šZ]OE uu]šu vš• μ v OE oo $]OE \mu u•š v •Yo$ $]v }v•]•š vš }}‰ OE š]<math>v_ ~D$ OEš $]vU \hat{i}i \acute{o} X dZ Qt, but Quchv•<math>\mu OE$ o μ commitment is not absolute. There remains room for autocratic states to renege on a commitment without significant repercussions. Consequently, there is no reason for initiators $(\mu š) OE š] OE À OE• o• š} Á]PZ /K•[]exthe] to Åmaintavitš avÁtoZcratic]]vP$

GLOBAL POLICY HORIZONS LAB Webster University

backsliding more likely but have also failed to prevent autocratic reversion in transitional democracies. Having advanced this main argument, it is important to consider the broader debate relevant to this phenomenon. In the baseline, this research directly aged in a general debate on the IQ democracy nexus, that is, does the IOs membership and the subsequent benefits derived from joining IOs strengthen the democratization efforts of transitional democracies? This research posits the argument that **WD** is deseem to be effective in achieving these ends, they can be limited in ensuring that their member states abide by democracy indefinitely. Specifically, the findings of this research suggest that IOs promote democracy by catering to domestic politics definocratizing member states and building their capacity at both domestic and international level, their efforts that tend to focus on elections and executive aggrandizement have instead allow democratic backsliding to occur. Moreover, as IOs cannot interve in the internal affairs of their member states and often lack an overarching enforcement mechanism to reorient them back to democracy, autocratic reversals often succeed, and autocratic regimes tend to survive in transitional democracies despite their IOs membership.

It is observable that despite much impotence of IOs pertaining to democratic backsliding and autocratic reversion, they carry some benefits in helping states democratize. The question then is: are backsliding and autocratic states within IOs a threat toodeacy promoting goals and overall operations of these organizations? If so, should IOs then encourage all states regardless of their established or potential regime typedemocratic or nordemocratic t to join IOs for the sake of effective global governce? As mentioned before, as IOs are effective platforms for multilateral engagement between leaders of democratizing states and those of consolidated democracies, they can facilitate the formation and maintenance of common expectations on democratic policy procedures and standard practices and reduce future uncertainties among member states. However, at the same time that IOs encourage democratization by allowing the u } OE] • [š } • }] o] Ì šΖ]CEÅoµ• a/low‰ Œ š] Z }uuµv]šÇ }(their autocratic and backsliding counterparts to socialize their illiberal norms and values that directly challenge those of the former.

backsliding or autocratic states with a powerful alternative to democratic transitions at the international level but has also allowed leaders of these states to maintain power at the

WORKS CITED

- u vU Ç ZX ^ (Œ] v hv]}v ^ š š} Z •š}MonitonP, Quite \$7,0201u4. Œ Z]‰X_ https://www.al-monitor.com/originals/2014/06/egyptafrican-union-restoremembership.html#.
- Arceneaux, Craig, and David PionŒ o] v X ^/••µ •U d Z Œ š•U v /v•š]šµš]}v•W Z •‰}v• • š} u} Œ š]]o uu •]v > š]v u Œ] X_ > š]v Society vol. 49, no. 2, 2007, ppt31.
 - OEu }U E v ÇX ^Kv u} OE š] I•o]]vPX_:}µOEvo }(u} OE Q 2016, pp. 5t19.
 -]v OEU ZOE]•š]v X ^dÁ} }(/vš OEv š]}v o o š}OE o ^쉉 s ομ X_ D Æ Wov I z OE }}I }(hv]š E š]}v• > Á Kvo]v pp. 213t246.
 - CE } šZ CE U dZ } u X ^ dZ v } (šZ dCE v] š] } v W CE] P u X _ : } μCE January 2002, pp. **t**21.
 - všCE o μCE}‰ & CE dCE PCE u všX ^WCE]}CE]š] •X_ •• / https://cefta.int/priorities/.

Dahl, Robert. Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971.

- Elsen, Norman, Hooper, Melissa, Kenealy, Andrew, Kirchick, James, Kirisçi, Kemal, Polyakova, Alina, Reinert, Ted, Sloat, Amanda, and Torrey Taussig. The Anatomy of Illiberal States: Accessing and Responding to Democratic Decline in Turkey and Central Europe. Foreign Policy at Brookings, 2019.
 - μŒ}‰ v Æš Œv o š]}v ^ ŒÀ] X ^ h o š]}v K ŒÀ š]}v D]• Action Service, August 27, 2021. https://www.eeas.europa.eu/node/410608_pti?s=4537.
- Geissel, Brigitte, Kneuer, Marianne, and Han)s Z]u > μšZX ^D •μŒ]vP šZ Yμ o]š u} Œ ÇW /všŒ} μš]}vX_ /všŒv š]}v o W}o]š] o ^] v November 2016, pp. 57t579.
- /Iv CECEÇU:}Zv 'X ^dZ v }(>] CE o /vš CEvš]}v o KCE CEM_/ January 2018, pp. f2/3.

Levitsky, Steven, and Daniel Ziblatt. How Democracies Die. New York: Crown, 2018.

>] u vU o Æ v ŒU v v •š ••] sX K Ç vI}À X ^Z P]}v o /vš Œ ^šŒ š PÇ }(μš} Œ ÇW šZ μŒ •] v }v}u] hv]}v v Z International Affairs vol. 94, no. 5, 2018), pp. 10/30758.



Do]µ•IÇU ŒÇX^]À]o



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Zin Mar Khing is a recent graduate, holding both undergraduate and graduate degree in international relations at Webster University. She has presented her research Covert Operations: Means or Ends at the annual Peace and Conflict Resolution Conference, whi pursuing her undergrade degree. Her academic interests and research focus on conflict management, ethnic conflict, international organizations, democracy, and human rights issues.



ABOUT THE GLOBAL POLICY HORIZONS RESEARCH LAB

t • š Œ h v] À G0 Eba] PQi [v Horizons Lab is a pofizio guided research entity where students ab researchers affiliated faculty, as well as members of the policy community macross disciplines can explore national and global security issugenerateoriginal research, as well produce peer-reviewed policy papers and commentaries The Lab pursues innovative research focusing on unconventional threats, identity and security, role of technology in security, economic security, as well environmental and